# Supplementary Material on the Methodology Part VI

Protocol for analysis of microsatellite loci in sardine

Technical document with detailed information of the protocol developed for the analysis of 12-16 microsatellite loci in <u>Sardina pilchardus</u>.

Author: Manuel Nande

Email: manuelnande.mn@gmail.comRequired Completion Date: August, 15, 2018Programme/Project Number: GCP/INT/317 EC Year 9FAO Division/ Office: FIAFDReports to: Marcelo VasconcellosTitle: Fishery Resources Officer

# **Material and Methods**

Twenty microsatellites loci were selected, which were used in studies of sardine populations (Table 1), for the evaluation of amplification conditions in DNA of sardine. The samples were provided by the sampling campaign in charge of the CopeMed II project (TRANSBORAN) and extracted using the FENOLSAT method (Pérez and Presa, 2011).

# Single microsatellite amplification by PCR.

Each locus was amplified individually using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). PCR reactions were performed in a 20  $\mu$ L volume and contained about 25 ng of genomic DNA previously extracted from the sardine samples (see report *Samples\_DNA\_Report\_MNande*). The reaction contained 1 X PCR buffer (10x NH4 5x MyTaq<sup>TM</sup> Reaction Buffer Bioline), 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (50mM MgCl2 solution, Bioline), 0.5 mM di-nucleotide triphosphate (dNTP NZYSet, NZYTech), 0.5  $\mu$ M of each primer (forward and reverse) and 0.2 U Taq DNA polymerase (BIOTAQ <sup>TM</sup> DNA polymerase, Bioline). The following PCR profile was used: 5 min at 95 ° C, 40 cycles consisting of 20 sec at 95 ° C, 25 sec at the annealing temperature (see below), 45 sec at 72 ° C, and at the end extension at 72 ° C for 7 min. Different temperatures of annealing (Table 1) were used in order to optimize the amplification efficiency for each one of the loci separately and to establish the common amplification conditions in a multiplex PCR.

The results of the PCR amplification were observed by electrophoresis on to 2% (w/v) agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide to check for size and amplification specificity.

| Microsat Loci | Product size (bp) | Primer Sequences 5'-3'                                 | Ta (°C) | Reference            | TaS (≌C)      |  |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------------|--|
| SAR-9         | 183-273           | F :AGGATGTGATGTCCATGAAGAAG                             | 55      | González et al 2007a | 52, 55 and 58 |  |
|               |                   | R : TTATTGCCTGCACTGAACA                                |         | González et al 2007b |               |  |
|               |                   |                                                        |         | Ruggeri et al 2012   |               |  |
|               |                   |                                                        |         | Ruggeri et al 2013   |               |  |
| SAR-1.5       | 128–208           | F : AGCTAAAAAGAAAACACACAG<br>R: CTTCCTTCATGACCCAAGGTGA | 54      | González et al 2007a | 52, 55 and 58 |  |
|               |                   |                                                        |         | González et al 2007b |               |  |
|               |                   |                                                        |         | Ruggeri et al 2012   |               |  |
|               |                   |                                                        |         | Ruggeri et al 2013   |               |  |
| SAR-1.12      | 166–292           | F : TGAGAATCACAGAATCTGAGCA                             | 54      | González et al 2007a | 52, 55 and 58 |  |
|               | 183-273           | R : CTTCTGGAAGCTCTTGGCATCTT                            |         | González et al 2007b |               |  |
|               |                   |                                                        | 55      | Ruggeri et al 2013   |               |  |

**Table 1**. Summary of the 20 microsatellite loci described in studies of sardine populations. Ta, annealing temperature in ° C, TaS, different annealing temperature in ° C used in this study.

| SAR-2.18 | 176–242 | F : CTGCGTGTGAATGTAGTCTG<br>R : CTTCCATTTCTCACCATTTCTT  | 55 | González et al 2007a<br>González et al 2007b | 52, 55 and 58 |
|----------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------------------------------------|---------------|
|          | 195 260 |                                                         | 62 | Conzáloz et al 2007a                         | E9 61 and 62  |
| JAN-AZF  | 165-209 | R : TTGACACACGCGTACCACCA                                | 05 | Guilzalez et al 2007a                        | 56, 01 and 05 |
| CAD 430  | 101 211 |                                                         | 62 | González et al 2007b                         | 50 C1 and C2  |
| SAR-A3C  | 101-311 |                                                         | 63 | Gonzalez et al 2007a                         | 58, 61 and 63 |
| 5AD 10D2 | 119 107 |                                                         | EQ | Gonzalez et al 2007b                         | EE ER and 61  |
| 3AN-1905 | 110-197 | R · TCTTGGCTCTTTGGCTTCT                                 | 50 | Guizalez et al 2007a                         | 55, 58 and 61 |
|          |         |                                                         |    | González et al 2007b                         |               |
| SAR-19B5 | 147–337 | F : AATGGCTTTCATCTGACCTTG                               | 58 | González et al 2007a                         | 55, 58 and 61 |
|          |         | R : TGGGACGACACCTTTAGTGAT                               |    |                                              |               |
|          |         |                                                         |    | González et al 2007b                         |               |
| Sp22     | 194-232 | F : GGTCACGTTGGGTATTTGCTAT                              | 49 | Kasapidis et al 2012.                        | 52 and 55     |
|          | 198-230 | R : GTCTGGAACTGGGTGCTCTC                                | 50 | Thesis E. Cilli.                             |               |
| SpI5     | 123-183 | F: TGGCCTGTGATCTACAGTATGG                               | 55 | Ruggeri et al 2012                           | 52, 55 and 58 |
| -        |         | R: CCTTTTGATAGCCCTGACACA                                |    | Ruggeri et al 2013                           | -             |
|          |         |                                                         |    | Ruggen et al 2015                            |               |
| Sp2      | 138-254 | F : CGAGGCCTGATAGAAACCC                                 | 56 | Kasapidis et al 2012                         | 52, 55 and 58 |
|          | 146-238 | R : AACCACGGTCAGTTCTCCAG                                | 59 | Thesis E. Cilli 2009                         |               |
|          | 122-274 |                                                         | 54 | Baibai et al 2012                            |               |
| Sp7      | 124-212 | F : GCACAGGCGCTTACACAC<br>B : TGTGACACCAGGCAGAGC        | 62 | Kasapidis et al 2012                         | 58, 61 and 63 |
|          | 125-169 |                                                         | 62 | Thesis E. Cilli 2009                         |               |
|          | 112-262 |                                                         | 61 | Baibai et al 2012                            |               |
| Sp8      | 109-211 | F : ACGTCACAGTTCCCCACTG                                 | 62 | Kasapidis et al 2012                         | 58, 61 and 63 |
|          | 110-160 | R : ACTGGCTGAGGAGGATGATG                                | 62 | Thesis E. Cilli 2009                         |               |
|          | 111-327 |                                                         | 63 | Baibai et al 2012                            |               |
| Sp10     | 148-248 |                                                         | 58 | Ruggeri et al 2012                           | 55, 58 and 61 |
|          |         | R.CGCTTTIGTTGGCTAAAACAT                                 |    | Ruggeri et al 2013                           |               |
| Sp45     | 160-216 | F : TAGGAATTGAGCCATGACCTTT<br>R :TGAAAACACCACTCATCAGGAC | ND | Thesis E. Cilli 2009                         | 52 and 55     |
| Sp5      | 84-90   | F : ATAAGAGTTACGGGCCACCC                                | 57 | Thesis E. Cilli 2009                         | 55, 58 and 61 |
|          |         | R. TATGECTACTOGECTCAATG                                 |    |                                              |               |
| SAR1D06  | 120-158 | F: CGGCTATTCTTAGACTAGGTG                                | 50 | Ruggeri et al 2012                           | 52 and 55     |
|          |         | R: CCCCATCAGCAATGAATAAG                                 |    | Ruggeri et al 2013                           |               |
| Spl7     | 117-141 | F: TGCTTTACTTCATTCCGTTGAA                               | 52 | Ruggeri et al 2012                           | 52 and 55     |
| -        |         | R: TCACATCATCACAACAACACC                                |    |                                              |               |
|          |         |                                                         |    | Ruggeri et al 2013                           |               |
| Splii93  | 170-292 | F:TAAGCAGACGCGAAACTGAA<br>R:CTTGCGACCTGACGTGATTA        | 58 | Ruggeri et al 2013                           | 55, 58 and 61 |
| SARB-A07 | 68-136  | F:CTCCTCACTCAGCCGCTAAGGA<br>R:GGGTAACATTTCGGCAAGTGCT    |    | Ruggeri et al 2013                           | 52 and 55     |
|          |         |                                                         |    |                                              |               |

# Multiplex PCR design "in silico".

The Multiplex Manager 1.0<sup>®</sup> software (Holleley and Geerts, 2009) was used to group in multiplex the selected microsatellites markers previous the amplification separately. The selection criteria were the amplification in a single band, being discarded those microsatellites markers with non-specific amplification (several bands) or without amplification band. Four fluorophore dyes (6-FAM, VIC, NED, PET) will be used for primer marker (Fig. 1).

|    | Name     | Chromosome | Genetic Location | Heterozygosity | Number of Alleles | Annealing Temperature | Minimum Allele Size | Maximum Allele Size | Forward Sequence        | Reverse Sequence       |  |
|----|----------|------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 1  | SAR-19B3 | 1          | 0                | 0.65           | 30                | 58                    | 118                 | 197                 | CGTTTGATCCCATCTGAAA     | TCTTGGCTCTTTGGCTTCT    |  |
| 2  | Sp2      | 1          | 0                | 0.93           | 31                | 56                    | 122                 | 254                 | CGAGGCCTGATAGAAACCC     | AACCACGGTCAGTTCTCCAG   |  |
| 3  | Spl5     | 1          | 0                | 0              | 39                | 55                    | 123                 | 183                 | TGGCCTGTGATCTACAGTATGG  | CCTTTTGATAGCCCTGACACA  |  |
| 4  | SARB-A07 | 1          | 0                | 0              | 39                | 55                    | 68                  | 136                 | CTCCTCACTCAGCCGCTAAGGA  | GGGTAACATTTCGGCAAGTGCT |  |
| 5  | SAR-9    | 1          | 0                | 0.85           | 40                | 58                    | 183                 | 273                 | AGGATGTGATGTCCATGAAGAAG | ATTGCCTGCACTGAACA      |  |
| 6  | SAR-1.12 | 1          | 0                | 0.66           | 40                | 55                    | 166                 | 260                 | TGAGAATCACAGAATCTGAGCA  | CTGGAAGCTCTTGGCATCTT   |  |
| 7  | Sp8      | 1          | 0                | 0.93           | 35                | 61                    | 110                 | 175                 | ACGTCACAGTTCCCCACTG     | ACTGGCTGAGGAGGATGATG   |  |
| 8  | Sp7      | 1          | 0                | 0.93           | 35                | 63                    | 124                 | 214                 | GCACAGGCGCTTACACAC      | TGTGACACCAGGCAGAGC     |  |
| 9  | SAR1D06  | 1          | 0                | 0              | 23                | 55                    | 120                 | 158                 | CGGCTATTCTTAGACTAGGTG   | CCCCATCAGCAATGAATAAG   |  |
| 10 | Splii93  | 1          | 0                | 0              | 52                | 58                    | 190                 | 292                 | TAAGCAGACGCGAAACTGAA    | CTTGCGACCTGACGTGATTA   |  |
| 11 | Spl7     | 1          | 0                | 0              | 52                | 55                    | 117                 | 141                 | TGCTTTACTTCATTCCGTTGAA  | TCACATCATCACAACAACACC  |  |
| 12 | Sp22     | 1          | 0                | 0.66           | 15                | 55                    | 198                 | 254                 | GGTCACGTTGGGTATTTGCTAT  | GTCTGGAACTGGGTGCTCTC   |  |

**Figure 1**. Data on microsatellites loci used for the design of two multiplex PCR "*in silico*" using six loci by PCR.

### Amplification conditions for the selected microsatellites markers by PCR-multiplex.

PCR multiplex consisted of the combination of 6 loci per reaction. PCR optimization was carried out by testing buffers with different concentrations of MgCl<sub>2</sub> among 1.5 to 2 mM (50mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> solution, Bioline), and concentration of primers from 0.1 to 0.30. Others conditions were constants, 1 X PCR buffer (10x NH4 5x MyTaq<sup>TM</sup> Reaction Buffer Bioline), 10 mM di-nucleotide triphosphate (dNTP NZYSet, NZYTech), 0.1 to 0.3  $\mu$ M of each primer and 0.2 U of polymerase (BIOTAQ <sup>TM</sup> DNA polymerase, Bioline). The following PCR profile was used: 5 min at 95 ° C, 40 cycles consisting of 20 sec at 95 ° C, 25 sec at consensus annealing temperature provided by Multiplex Manager 1.0<sup>®</sup> software of 55 °C for Multiplex 1 and 59 °C for the Multiplex 2, 45 sec at 72 ° C, and at the end extension at 72 ° C for 7 min.

The different microsatellite markers were amplified at the same annealing temperature than multiplex and all were observed in the same agarose gel at 3% (w/v) to evaluate the amplification of all loci in the multiplex and separately PCR.

# Results

#### Single microsatellite amplification by PCR.

All loci showed bands of amplified except three (Sp 45, Sp5 and SAR19B5). Several bands were observed at the microsatellite markers Sp22, SAR-1-5, SAR 2.18, Sp10, SAR-A2F, and SAR-A3C (Fig 2). For the Sp7 microsatellite marker, two bands are observed with an annealing temperature of 58 °C and a single one at 63 °C (Fig 2, D). The microsatellite markers selected to amplify in PCR multiplex were SAR19B3, Sp2, Sp15, SARB-A07, SAR-9, SAR-1.12, Sp8, Sp7, SAR1D06, SPIII93, Sp17 and Sp22.



Figure 2. PCR products of the 20 microsatellite markers amplified at different annealing temperatures (Table 1) in 2% (w/v) agarose gel.

# Multiplex PCR design "in silico".

Results of grouping microsatellite markers in two PCR multiplex were Spl5, Spl7, SARB-A07, SAR-1.12, Sp22 and SAR19B3 with an annealing temperature of 55 ° C and SAR1D06, SpIII93, Sp8, Sp7, Sp2 and SAR-9 on a temperature of 59 ° C (Fig. 3).



**Figure 3**. Detail of microsatellite that constitute the multiplex 1 at an annealing temperature of 55 °C and the multiplex 2 of 59 °C.

# Amplification conditions for the selected microsatellites markers by PCR-multiplex.

In the agarose gel, three amplification bands were observed for multiplex 1 with 0.1  $\mu$ M of each primer, coinciding with the sizes (bp) of the expected amplicons, while only two were observed with a concentration of 0.3  $\mu$ M of each primer (Fig. 4). For multiplex 2, only two bands are observed regardless of the concentration of the primers (Fig 4).

In the individual amplification the loci Sp7, SAR19B3, and SAR-9 showed two bands so they were discarded for the final multiplex design (Fig. 5). No differences were observed in the intensity in the bands for multiplex 1 to 1.5 and 2 mM  $MgCl_2$  (Fig 5, A). For multiplex 2 highest intensity is observed with 2 mM  $MgCl_2$  than 1.5 and 1.75 mM  $MgCl_2$  (Fig 5, B).



**Figure 4**. Amplification fragments of multiplex 1 and 2 with different concentrations of primers (0.1 and 0.3  $\mu$ M of each primer) in agarose gel 3% (w/v).



Figure 5. Products of each single microsatellite PCR and PCR-multiplex are observed in the agarose gel 3% (w/v). M55-1.5, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>; M55-1.75, 1.75 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and M55-2, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>.

### Conclusion

Having analyzed 20 initial loci, 12 were selected for first PCR-multiplex design. Finally, a total of 9 microsatellites markers was grouped in two multiplex PCR consist of 4 (Multiplex 1) and 5 (Multiplex 2) loci (Fig. 6). For the labeling, fragments of amplification sizes were taken into account for each PCR-multiplex.

Because the agarose gel does not allow in this case to differentiate by size different bands that would correspond to each microsatellite, it is recommended to mark the primers in order to be able to genotype the amplified fragments in a sequencer and then analyzed the efficiency of PCR-multiplex for all microsatellites. The primers will be marked with different fluorophores dye (6-FAM, VIC, MED, and PET) following figure 6 and will be ordered Company NZYTech, Lda.



**Figure 6**. Final design of the two PCR-multiplex consisting of four (multiplex 1) and five (multiplex 2) microsatellites markers with a different fluorescents dye (6-FAM, VIC, MED and PET).

#### References

- Baibai, T., Oukhattar, L., Quinteiro, J. V., Mesfioui, A., Rey-Mendez, M. (2012). First global approach: morphological and biological variability in a genetically homogeneous population of the European pilchard, Sardina pilchardus (Walbaum, 1792) in the North Atlantic coast. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 22(1), 63-80.
- Cilli, E. (2009). Spatial and temporal population structure and species traceability in fishery resources of the Mediterranean Sea (Doctoral dissertation).
- González, E. G., and Zardoya, R. (2007a). Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellites for the sardine Sardina pilchardus (Clupeiformes: Clupeidae). Molecular Ecology Resources. 7(3), 519-921.

- González, E. G., and Zardoya, R. (2007b). Relative role of life-history traits and historical factors in shaping genetic population structure of sardines (Sardina pilchardus). BMC Evolutionary Biology, 7(1), 197.
- Holleley, C.E., & Geerts, P. (2009). Multiplex Manager 1.0: a cross-platform computer program that plans and optimizes multiplex PCR. BioTechniques, 46 7, 511-7.
- Kasapidis, P., Silva, A., Zampicinini, G., Magoulas, A. (2012). Evidence for microsatellite hitchhiking selection in European sardine (Sardina pilchardus) and implications in inferring stock structure. Scientia Marina, 76(1), 123-132.
- Pérez, M. and Presa, P. (2011). FENOSALT: un método sintético para la extracción de ADN de peces y moluscos. P 79-87. En: Garcia-Estévez, J. M., Olabarria, C.,Pérez, S., Rolán-Álvarez, E., Rosón, G. (Eds). Métodos y Técnicas en investigación marina. Editorial Tecnos, Madrid. 404p.
- Ruggeri P., Splendiani A., Bonanomi S., Arneri E., Cingolani N., Santojanni A., Colella S., Donato F., Giovannotti M., Caputo V. (2013). Searching for a stock structure in Sardina pilchardus from the Adriatic and Ionian seas using a microsatellite DNA-based approach. Scientia Marina, 77 (4), 565-574.
- Ruggeri, P., Splendiani, A., Bonanomi, S., Arneri, E., Cingolani, N., Santojanni, A., Belardinelli A., Giovannotti M., Caputo, V. (2012). Temporal genetic variation as revealed by a microsatellite analysis of European sardine (Sardina pilchardus) archived samples. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 69(10), 1698-1709.