





# Stock Assessment Form Demersal species

Reference year:2019 Reporting year:2021

European hake (*Merluccius merluccius*) is one of the target species in the trawl fishery developed by around 30 vessels off Balearic Islands (GFCM-GSA05), mainly exploited on the deep shelf and upper slope, whose annual landings oscillating between 50 and 190 tons during the last decades. This stock has been assessed using data from the trawl on a time series covering 40 years (1980-2019). The assessment has been carried out applying tuned virtual population analysis (Extended Survivor Analysis, XSA) and a4a on the cohorts present during 1980-2019 and a Y/R analysis based on the exploitation pattern resulting from the a4a model and population parameters for the period 2017-2019. These approaches were performed using monthly size composition of catches and official landings. The models were tuned with bottom trawl surveys (2001–2019). The vector of natural mortality by age was calculated from Caddy's formula, using the PROBIOM Excel spreadsheet. The software used was FLR in R.

# Stock Assessment Form version 1.0 (January 2014)

Uploader: Marc Farré

# Stock assessment form

1	Bas	sic Id	entification Data	2
2	Sto	ock id	entification and biological information	3
	2.1	Sto	ck unit	3
	2.2	Gro	wth and maturity	3
3	Fisl	herie	s information	5
	3.1	Des	cription of the fleet	5
	3.2	Hist	orical trends	6
	3.3	Mai	nagement regulations	6
	3.4	Refe	erence points	7
4	Fisl	herie	s independent information	8
	4.1	{TYI	PE OF SURVEY} Bookmark not de	fined.
	4.1	.1	Brief description of the direct method used	8
	4.1	2	Spatial distribution of the resources	9
	4.1	.3	Historical trends	9
5	Ecc	ologic	al information	11
	5.1	Pro	tected species potentially affected by the fisheries	11
	<b>F O</b>	-		11
	5.2	Env	ironmental indexes	11
6	5.2 Sto	Env ock As	ironmental indexes ssessment	11
6	5.2 Sto 6.1	Env ock As Na{	ironmental indexes ssessment me of the Model} <b>Error! Bookmark not de</b>	11 11 fined.
6	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1	Env ock As {Na 1	ironmental indexes ssessment me of the Model} <b>Error! Bookmark not de</b> Model assumptions	11 11 <b>fined.</b> 11
6	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1 6.1	Env ock As {Na 1 2	ironmental indexes ssessment me of the Model} <b>Error! Bookmark not de</b> Model assumptions Scripts	11 11 <b>fined.</b> 11 12
6	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1	Env ock As {Na 1 2 3	ironmental indexes ssessment me of the Model} <b>Error! Bookmark not de</b> Model assumptions Scripts Input data and Parameters	11 fined. 11 12 12
6	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1	Env ock As {Na 1 2 3 4	ironmental indexes ssessment me of the Model}Error! Bookmark not de Model assumptions Scripts Input data and Parameters Tuning data	11 fined. 11 12 12 12
6	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1	Env ock As {Na 1 2 3 4 5	ironmental indexes ssessment	11 fined. 11 12 12 12 12 12
6	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1	Env ock As {Na 1 2 3 4 5 6	ironmental indexes	11 fined. 11 12 12 12 12 12 13 14
6	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1	Env ock As {Na 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7	Ironmental Indexes ssessment	11 fined. 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 c16
6	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1	Env ock As (Na 1 2 3 3 3 5 6 7 8	Ironmental Indexes ssessment	11 fined. 11 12 12 12 12 13 14 c16 16
7	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 5to	Env ock As (Na 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 ock pr	Ironmental Indexes ssessment	11 <b>fined.</b> 11 12 12 12 12 13 14 c16 16 17
6 7	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 5to 7.1	Env ock As {Na 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ock pr Sho	Ironmental Indexes ssessment	11 fined. 11 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 c16 16 17 18
6 7	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 5to 7.1 7.2	Env ock As (Na 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ock pr Sho Mee	Ironmental Indexes ssessment	11 fined. 11 fined. 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 c16 16 17 18 18
6 7	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 7.1 7.2 7.3	Env ock As (Na 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ock pr Sho Mee Lon	Ironmental Indexes Sesessment	11 fined. 11 fined. 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 c16 16 16 17 18 18 18
6 7 8	5.2 Sto 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 6.1 7.1 7.2 7.3 Dra	Env ock As (Na 1 2 3 4 5 7 7 7 Sho Ke Lon aft sc	Ironmental Indexes	11 fined. 11 fined. 12 12 12 12 13 14 c16 16 16 17 18 18 18 19

#### **1** Basic Identification Data

Scientific name:	Common name:	ISCAAP Group:					
Merluccius merluccius	European hake	32					
1 <sup>st</sup> Geographical sub-area:	2 <sup>nd</sup> Geographical sub-area:	3 <sup>rd</sup> Geographical sub-area:					
GSA05 – Balearic Islands	[GSA_2]	[GSA_3]					
4 <sup>th</sup> Geographical sub-area:	5 <sup>th</sup> Geographical sub-area:	6 <sup>th</sup> Geographical sub-area:					
[GSA_4]							
1 <sup>st</sup> Country	2 <sup>nd</sup> Country	3 <sup>rd</sup> Country					
Spain	[Country_2]	[Country_3]					
4 <sup>th</sup> Country	5 <sup>th</sup> Country	6 <sup>th</sup> Country					
Stock assessr	nent method: (direct, indirect, com	bined, none)					
Trawl s	urvey, Indirect method (XSA, a4a ar	nd Y/R)					
	Authors:						
Beatriz Guijarro, Marc F	arré, Natalia González, Francesc Or	dines and Enric Massutí					
Affiliation:							
Instituto Español de Oceanografía.	Centre Oceanogràfic de Balears; Mo	oll de Ponent s/n; 07015; Palma de					
Mallorca; Illes Balears							

The ISSCAAP code is assigned according to the FAO 'International Standard Statistical Classification for Aquatic Animals and Plants' (ISSCAAP) which divides commercial species into 50 groups on the basis of their taxonomic, ecological and economic characteristics. This can be provided by the GFCM secretariat if needed. A list of groups can be found here:

http://www.fao.org/fishery/collection/asfis/en

Direct methods (you can choose more than one):

- Trawl survey

Indirect method (you can choose more than one):

- XSA
- A4a
- Y/R

# 2 Stock identification and biological information

#### 2.1 Stock unit

GSA05 has been pointed as an individualized area for assessment and management purposes in the western Mediterranean (Quetglas et al., 2013) due to its main specificities. These include: 1) Geomorphologically, the Balearic Islands (GSA05) are clearly separated from the Iberian Peninsula (GSA06) by depths between 800 and 2000 m, which would constitute a natural barrier to the interchange of adult stages of demersal resources; 2) Physical geographically-related characteristics, such as the lack of terrigenous inputs from rivers and submarine canyons in GSA05 compared to GSA06, give rise to differences in the structure and composition of the trawling grounds and hence in the benthic assemblages; 3) Owing to these physical differences, the faunistic assemblages exploited by trawl fisheries differ between GSA05 and GSA06, resulting in large differences in the relative importance of the main commercial species; 4) There are no important or general interactions between the demersal fishing fleets in the two areas, with only local cases of vessels targeting red shrimp in GSA05 but landing their catches in GSA06; 5) Trawl fishing exploitation in GSA05 is much lower than in GSA06; the density of trawlers around the Balearic Islands is one order of magnitude lower than in adjacent waters; and 6) Due to this lower fishing exploitation, the demersal resources and ecosystems in GSA05 are in a healthier state than in GSA06, which is reflected in the population structure of the main commercial species (populations from the Balearic Islands have larger modal sizes and lower percentages of smallsized individuals), and in the higher abundance and diversity of elasmobranch assemblages.

# 2.2 Growth and maturity

Somatic maį	gnitude me , LC, etc)	asured		Units	cm
Sex Fem		Mal	Combined	mbined Reproduction All year, season the end of w	
Maximum size observed			82*	Recruitment season	
Size at first maturity			33**	Spawning area	Deep shelf and upper slope
Recruitment size to the fishery			5***	Nursery area	Deep shelf

Table 2.2-1: Maximum size, size at first maturity and size at recruitment.

\* Maximum length obtained taking into account both catches from the commercial fleet and surveys.

\*\* García-Rodríguez and Esteban (1995).

\*\*\* Minimum length found in catches from the commercial fleet.

Size/Age	Natural mortality	Proportion of matures
0	1.89	0
1	0.79	0.297
2	0.47	0.986
3	0.35	0.990
4	0.29	1
+5	0.23	1

Table 2-2.2: M vector and proportion of matures by size or age (Combined)

Table 2-3: Growth and length weight model parameters

					Sex						
		Units	female	male	Combined	Years					
	L∞				110						
Growth model	К				0.178						
	to										
	Data source		N	Iellon-Du	ıval et al. 2010						
Length weight	а				0.00677						
relationship	b				3.035097						
	M (scalar)										
	sex ratio (% females/total)			-		-					

# **3** Fisheries information

# 3.1 Description of the fleet

In the Balearic Islands (western Mediterranean), commercial trawlers develop up to four different fishing tactics, which are associated with the shallow shelf, deep shelf, upper slope and middle slope (Guijarro and Massutí 2006; Ordines et al. 2006), mainly targeted to: (i) *Spicara smaris, Mullus surmuletus, Octopus vulgaris* and a mixed fish category on the shallow shelf (50-80 m); (ii) *Merluccius merluccius, Mullus* spp., *Zeus faber* and a mixed fish category on the deep shelf (80-250 m); (iii) *Nephrops norvegicus,* but with an important by-catch of big *M. merluccius, Lepidorhombus* spp., *Lophius* spp. and *Micromesistius poutassou* on the upper slope (350-600 m) and (iv) *Aristeus antennatus* on the middle slope (600-750 m). The European hake, *M. merluccius* is one of the target species from the deep shelf, although it is also an important by-catch in the upper slope and, in a lower level, in the middle slope.

	Country	GSA	Fleet Segment	Fishing Gear Class	Group of Target Species	Species
Operational Unit 1*	ESP	05	E-Trawl (12-24 meters)	03 - Trawls	33 – Demersal shelf species	HKE
Operational Unit 2	ESP	05	E-Trawl (12-24 meters)	03 - Trawls	34 – Demersal slope species	HKE

Table 3-1: Description of operational units exploiting the stock

Table 3.1-2: Catch, bycatch, discards and effort by operational unit in the reference year

Operational Units*	Fleet (n° of boats)*	Catch (T or kg of the species assessed)	Other species caught (names and weight )	Discards (species assessed)	Discards (other species caught)	Effort (units)
Trawl	37*	110**	See below	4%***	See comments	Fishing trips
Total	37	110		4%		

\*Number of boats in 2020 (22 Mallorca, 6 Menorca and 9 Ibiza-Formentera).

\*\* Catch is the average landings, in tons, 1980-2019.

\*\*\* From bottom trawl fleet monitoring (EU DCF).

<u>Other species caught (Ordines et al., 2006):</u> Teleosts: Lepidorhombus boscii, Lophius spp, Chelidonichthys. cuculus, Trachinus draco,... Elasmobranchs: Raja spp.

<u>Discards other species:</u> Teleosts: Capros aper, Macroramphosus scolopax, Synchiropus. phaeton, Boops boops, Lepidotrigla cavillone,... Elasmobranchs: Scyliorhinus canicula,...

#### 3.2 Historical trends

Catches show important oscillations along the data series, although the maximum values reached before 1995 have never been observed again (Fig. 3.2.1). Size composition ranged between 5-82 cm and most of the catches correspond to individuals aged 0 and 1, with age 0 highly variable among years (Fig. 3.2.2).



Fig. 3.2-1. *M. merluccius* GSA05: Annual landings of bottom trawl fleet from 1980 to 2018.





# 3.3 Management regulations

Trawl

- Fishing license: fully observed

- Engine power limited to 316 KW or 500 CV: not observed

- Mesh size in the cod-end (before Jun 1st 2010: 40 mm diamond; from Jun 1st 2010: 40 mm square or 50 mm diamond -by derogation-): fully observed

- Fishing forbidden upper 50 m depth: not fully observed
- Time at sea (12 hours per day and 5 days per week): fully observed
- Weekly temporal bans (winter, for some years)
- Fishing reduction (2020) (number of days)
- Spatial-temporal closures (2020) (20% reduction juveniles)

#### 3.4 Reference points

Table 3.3-1: List of reference points and empirical reference values previously agreed (if any)

Indicator	Limit Reference point/emp irical reference value	Value	Target Reference point/empi rical reference value	Value	Comments
В					
SSB					
F			F <sub>0.1</sub>	0.31	
Y					
CPUE					
Index of Biomass at sea					

#### 4 Fisheries independent information

#### 4.1 BALAR-MEDITS bottom trawl surveys

#### 4.1.1 Brief description of the direct method used

From 2001, the Spanish Institute of Oceanography has performed annual bottom trawl surveys following the same methodology and sampling gear described in the MEDITS protocol (BALAR surveys, Massutí and Reñones, 2005). Since 2007, this survey has been included in the MEDITS program (Bertrand *et al.*, 2002). Mean stratified abundances and biomasses by km<sup>2</sup> has been computed using the methodology described by Grosslein and Laurec (1982), with the following formula:

$$\overline{Y}_{st} = \frac{1}{N_h} * \sum Y_h$$

- Mean catch by stratum:

$$S^{2}(\overline{Y}_{st}) = \frac{1}{N_{h-1}} * \sum (Y_{h} - \overline{Y}_{st})^{2}$$

- Variance by stratum:

$$Y_t = \frac{1}{A} * \sum (\overline{Y}_{st} * A_h)$$

- Mean total catch:

$$S^{2}(\overline{Y}_{t}) = \frac{1}{A^{2}} * \sum \frac{S^{2}(\overline{Y}_{st}) * A_{h}^{2}}{N_{h}}$$

- Total variance:

- SE (standard error): 
$$SE = \sqrt{S^2(\overline{Y}_{st})}$$

Nh: number of hauls in each sub-stratum; Yh: mean catch by haul in each sub-stratum; A: total stratum area; Ah: sub-estratum area;  $S^2(\overline{Y}_{st})$  variance in each sub-stratum.

#### Direct methods: trawl based abundance indices

Table 4.1-1: Trawl survey basic information

Survey	MEDITS_05	_2019	Trawler/RV	RV "Miguel Oliver"
Sampling season		End Spring (June)		
Sampling d	esign	MEDITS Handbook V.8 (2016)		
Sampler (g	ear used)	G.O.C. 73		
Cod –end n as opening	nesh size in mm	20 mm		
Investigate range (m)	d depth	50-750 m		

Map of hauls positions



Figure 4.1-1: Map of the position of MEDITS survey trawls, beam trawls and CTD's in GSA 05.

#### 4.1.2 Spatial distribution of the resources

*M. merluccius* is mainly distributed in the fishing grounds sited in the south of Mallorca and the Menorca channel (Fig 4.1.2.-1).



Fig 4.1.2-1. Spatial distribution of *M. merluccius* around the Balearic Islands using information obtained from surveys.

#### 4.1.3 Historical trends

Abundance indices of *M. merluccius* in GSA 05 show oscillations along the data series without a clear trend (Fig 4.1.3-1).



Fig 4.1.3-1. Abundance index of M. merluccius in GSA 05 from scientific surveys (including 2019). Most of the catches during the survey correspond to age 0 individuals (Fig 4.1.3-2).



Fig 4.1.3-2. Age structure of of *M. merluccius* in GSA 05 from scientific surveys.

#### 5 Ecological information

# 5.1 Protected species potentially affected by the fisheries

#### 5.2 Environmental indexes

The study of the influence of environmental conditions on the population dynamics of *M*. merluccius in the Balearic Islands has been modeled using meso-scale (IDEA, Monserrat et al., 2008) and large-scale (NAO) indices (Massutí et al., 2008). IDEA index is used as a proxy for two oceanographic scenarios for the regional circulation around the Balearic Islands, while NAO index should have an impact on the climatic conditions on the areas where Western Intermediate Waters (WIW) are formed. The bathymetric range of these water masses coincides with those of hake population. Periods of low NAO and IDEA indices could produce an oceanographic scenario which increases productivity in the area, because of the major presence of cold intermediate WIW in the channels. In addition to its effect of reinforcement of the Northern and Balearic currents (Pinot et al., 2002; López-Jurado et al., 2008), it must also be considered that WIW has originated in a more productive area (Estrada, 1996) and during periods of high hydro-climatic dynamism (e.g. rainfall and increased wind strength, causing increasing river runoff and wind mixing; Lloret et al., 2001). These same authors have demonstrated that cold years (generally coincident with low NAO index) tend to be more productive in the western Mediterranean, partly because winter mixing may reach greater depths and in part because the formation of deep water in the Gulf of Lions may flow over a large area (Estrada et al., 1985). Also, periods of low NAO index result in favorable conditions for the productivity of exploited stocks in the Gulf of Lions (Lloret et al., 2001). These environmental conditions could benefit the recruitment of hake in the Balearic Islands.

#### 6 Stock Assessment

In this section there will be one subsection for each different model used, and also different model assumptions runs should be documented when all are presented as alternative assessment options.

# 6.1 Statistical Catch-at-Age (a4a) model

#### 6.1.1 Model assumptions

FLR libraries were employed in order to carry out a Statistical-Catch-at-Age (a4a) assessment. Several models were run with different configurations and the final model selected was the following:

f<- ~ factor(age) + s(year, k=11)
q <- list(~factor(replace(age,age>3,3)))
sr <- ~factor(year)</pre>

# 6.1.2 Scripts

#### 6.1.3 Input data and Parameters

Catch data from the commercial fleet, by age, is shown in the following table (thousands individuals):

	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989
0	584.9	471.6	174.5	196.5	1221.2	1265.9	133	41.8	155.9	248.5
1	1153.5	459.9	366.1	672.3	1611.6	1282.3	1302.3	547.1	675.8	921.4
2	125	78.9	36.6	29.8	64	167.4	88.3	42.2	59.3	42.8
3	13.4	6.7	4.5	2.2	5.3	4.5	9.9	4.5	4.6	2.7
4	0.3	3.1	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.3	1.1	1.7	0.1	0.9
5+	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.1	0.2	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.1
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
0	24.7	30.1	667.4	100	97.8	262.1	87	215.7	354.3	281.7
1	749.6	591	1874.7	1058.2	1035.1	300.2	232.4	316.9	714.7	388.1
2	47.9	87.6	107.3	74.7	73	37.8	30.7	60.5	136.3	48
3	5.2	13.8	13.5	8.2	8.1	19.2	11.7	22.5	6.3	7.4
4	0.5	2.1	5	1.6	1.6	2.6	4.5	4.2	1.2	1
5+	0.05	0.3	1.1	0.05	0.05	1.1	0.5	0.05	0.05	0.4
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
0	229.4	321.5	366.9	169	776.9	590.9	701.5	145.7	372.1	80.2
1	401.9	660.1	588.8	227.4	606.3	996.2	1200.4	656	512.6	787.3
2	92.2	71.3	157.5	91.2	37.3	74.2	71.8	87.6	55.2	50
3	4	9.3	13.1	9.3	3.7	4.6	5.9	15.8	13.8	6.5
4	0.2	6.9	2.3	1.1	0.4	0.8	1	2.4	3.8	2.7
5+	0.05	1	1.1	0.2	0.05	0.05	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.2
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
0	272.7	113	123.8	272.9	210.3	62.7	52.9	20.9	514.4	286.4
1	887.1	781.6	347.2	918.8	794.5	743.3	626.7	375.6	1210.7	644.1
2	127.7	69.5	37.6	40.3	74.7	35.1	24.8	60.4	68.8	80.5
3	5	9	7.5	7	8.1	3.4	2.6	5.1	5.7	3.4
4	2.3	1.2	1.5	2.6	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	0.5	0.5
5+	0.1	0.3	0.2	1.3	0.3	0.05	0.05	0.1	0.3	0.05

#### 6.1.4 Tuning data

Abundance index from the survey, by age, is shown in the following table (n/km<sup>2</sup>):

	0	1	2	3	4	5+
2001	194	116.4	14.2	1.6	0.8	0.1
2002	145.3	154.8	10.3	1.4	0.5	0.3
2003	108.1	82.3	8.8	0.05	0.4	0.05
2004	374.5	135.1	7	1	0.3	0.05
2005	457.9	204.2	10.8	0.4	0.05	0.05
2006	1002	180.4	12.4	0.6	0.05	0.05
2007	516.8	209.4	8.5	0.3	0.6	0.05
2008	167.7	78.1	5.3	1.6	0.6	0.05
2009	908.1	103.3	4.7	0.3	0.05	0.05
2010	567.1	127.5	16.1	0.05	0.05	0.05
2011	432.4	115.3	5.2	0.7	0.05	0.05
2012	996.2	135.7	1.8	0.6	0.3	0.05
2013	1163	191.4	3.7	0.2	0.3	0.05
2014	504.1	126.7	8	1.2	0.8	0.05
2015	319.3	81.3	3.2	0.4	0.05	0.05
2016	427.9	70.3	3.4	0.2	0.05	0.05
2017	102.2	47.6	4.3	0.5	0.05	0.05
2018	926.9	81.1	4.7	1.1	0.2	0.05
2019	332.1	97	8.7	0.3	0.05	0.05

#### 6.1.5 Results

3-D plots for the estimated fishing mortality and catchability from the survey are shown in Figure 6.2.5-1.



Figure 6.2.5-1. 3D contour plots of estimated fishing mortality and of estimated catchability at age and year for M. merluccius from GSA 05.

A4a results show important oscillations along the data series (Fig 6.1.5-1). For the last year, SSB and catch showed an increasing trend.



Fig 6.1.5-1. A4a results for *Merluccius merluccius* in GSA 05.

# 6.1.6 Robustness analysis

Residuals from surveys by age and year were relatively low (Fig. 6.1.6-1).



log residuals of catch and abundance indices by age

Figure 6.2.5-3. Residuals from the catches and the tuning fleet for M. merluccius from GSA 05.



#### log residuals of catch and abundance indices

Fig. 6.1.6-1. *Merluccius merluccius* GSA05: Log residuals for the surveys and the commercial fleet.



Figure 6.2.5-5. Model fit for *M. merluccius* for the commertial fleet and survey from GSA 05.

# 6.1.7 Retrospective analysis, comparison between model runs, sensitivity analysis, etc.



Figure 6.1.7-1. Retrospective analysis for M. merluccius from GSA 05.

# 6.1.8 Assessment quality

Stability of the assessment, evaluation of quality of the data and reliability of model assumptions.



Low er right panels show the Coefficient of Determination  $(r^2)$ 

Figure 6.1.8.1. Internal consistency of the catch at age data, commercial fleet, for *Merluccius merluccius* from GSA5



Figure 6.1.8.1. Internal consistency of the catch at age data, MEDITS survey, for *Merluccius merluccius* from GSA5

# 7 Stock predictions

# 7.1 Short term predictions

A deterministic short term prediction was performed using FLR routines, assuming an  $F_{stq}$  of 1.04 and a recruitment as the geometric average 2017-2019). Table 7.1.1 shows the results of the predictions..

	Ffactor	Fbar	Catch_201	Catch_202	Catch_202	Catch_202	SSB_2020	SSB_2021	SSB_2022	Change_S	Change_C
F0.1	0.31	0.326	124.150	121.040	47.371	74.325	118.267	107.997	206.659	91.356	-61.844
F upper	0.43	0.447	124.150	121.040	60.804	85.923	118.267	107.997	180.422	67.062	-51.024
flower	0.21	0.218	124.150	121.040	33.737	58.441	118.267	107.997	234.096	116.762	-72.826
Zero catch	0.00	0.000	124.150	121.040	0.000	0.000	118.267	107.997	304.753	182.186	-100.000
Status quo	1.00	1.042	124.150	121.040	105.398	99.758	118.267	107.997	101.464	-6.050	-15.104
Different	0.10	0.104	124.150	121.040	17.260	33.403	118.267	107.997	268.166	148.308	-86.098
	0.20	0.208	124.150	121.040	32.437	56.703	118.267	107.997	236.749	119.218	-73.873
	0.30	0.313	124.150	121.040	45.810	72.721	118.267	107.997	209.761	94.228	-63.101
	0.40	0.417	124.150	121.040	57.618	83.523	118.267	107.997	186.565	72.749	-53.590
	0.50	0.521	124.150	121.040	68.069	90.614	118.267	107.997	166.616	54.278	-45.172
	0.60	0.625	124.150	121.040	77.339	95.090	118.267	107.997	149.450	38.384	-37.706
	0.70	0.729	124.150	121.040	85.583	97.746	118.267	107.997	134.669	24.697	-31.065
	0.80	0.833	124.150	121.040	92.933	99.155	118.267	107.997	121.932	12.903	-25.144
	0.90	0.938	124.150	121.040	99.505	99.728	118.267	107.997	110.946	2.731	-19.851
	1.10	1.146	124.150	121.040	110.698	99.451	118.267	107.997	93.270	-13.636	-10.835
	1.20	1.250	124.150	121.040	115.479	98.952	118.267	107.997	86.183	-20.199	-6.984
	1.30	1.354	124.150	121.040	119.805	98.360	118.267	107.997	80.045	-25.883	-3.500
	1.40	1.459	124.150	121.040	123.733	97.741	118.267	107.997	74.722	-30.811	-0.336
	1.50	1.563	124.150	121.040	127.311	97.138	118.267	107.997	70.100	-35.091	2.546
	1.60	1.667	124.150	121.040	130.580	96.577	118.267	107.997	66.080	-38.813	5.179
	1.70	1.771	124.150	121.040	133.579	96.075	118.267	107.997	62.577	-42.057	7.595
	1.80	1.875	124.150	121.040	136.338	95.639	118.267	107.997	59.520	-44.888	9.817
	1.90	1.980	124.150	121.040	138.885	95.270	118.267	107.997	56.845	-47.364	11.869
	2.00	2.084	124.150	121.040	141.245	94.967	118.267	107.997	54.501	-49.535	13.769

Table 7.1.1 – Short term forecast in different F scenarios computed for M. merluccius in GSA 5.

#### 7.2 Medium term predictions

No medium term prediction was carried out due to the lack of a reliable model fit for the spawning stock biomass-recruitment relationship

#### 7.3 Long term predictions

A4a results were used as input data for the Y/R analysis, performed in R (FLBRP) using the last 3 years (2017-2019) in order to calculate the reference point ( $F_{0.1}$  as a proxy of  $F_{MSY}$ ) and the estimated reference fishing mortality ( $F_{current}$ ). However, the  $F_{0.1}$  considered for the final advice was those computed in 2019.

Yield per recruit analysis was used (FLBRP) to calculate the reference point ( $F_{0.1}$  as a proxy of  $F_{MSY}$ ) and the estimated reference fishing mortality ( $F_{current}$ ).

F <sub>0.1 (2019)</sub>	0.33
F <sub>current</sub> (0-3, 2019)	1.02

# 8 Draft scientific advice

Based on	Indicator	Analytic al reference point (name and value)	Current value from the analysis (name and value)	Empirical reference value (name and value)	Trend (time period)	Stock Status	
Fishing mortality	Fishing mortality	F <sub>0.1 (2019)</sub> =0.33	F <sub>(0-3,</sub> <sub>2019)</sub> =1.02			IO (O <sub>H</sub> )	
	Fishing effort						
	Catch						
Stock abundance	Biomass						
	SSB		107.89 tons	33 <sub>th</sub> percentile= 95.83 66 <sub>th</sub> percentile= 113.02			
Recruitment		8.3 millions	9705.3				
Final Diagnosis		In high level of overfishing and overexploited with intermediate level of biomass					

Ratio  $F_{(0-3, 2019)}/F_{0.1(2019)}$ = 3.09.

# 8.1 Explanation of codes

#### **Trend categories**

- 1) N No trend
- 2) I Increasing
- 3) D Decreasing
- 4) C Cyclic

#### Stock Status

#### Based on Fishing mortality related indicators

- 1) **N Not known or uncertain** Not much information is available to make a judgment;
- 2) **U undeveloped or new fishery** Believed to have a significant potential for expansion in total production;
- 3) **S Sustainable exploitation** fishing mortality or effort below an agreed fishing mortality or effort based Reference Point;
- 4) **IO –In Overfishing status** fishing mortality or effort above the value of the agreed fishing mortality or effort based Reference Point. An agreed range of overfishing levels is provided;

#### Range of Overfishing levels based on fishery reference points

In order to assess the level of overfishing status when  $F_{0.1}$  from a Y/R model is used as LRP, the following operational approach is proposed:

- If Fc\*/F<sub>0.1</sub> is below or equal to 1.33 the stock is in **(O<sub>L</sub>): Low overfishing**
- If the Fc/F<sub>0.1</sub> is between 1.33 and 1.66 the stock is in **(O<sub>1</sub>): Intermediate overfishing**
- If the Fc/F<sub>0.1</sub> is equal or above to 1.66 the stock is in **(O<sub>H</sub>): High overfishing** \*Fc is current level of F
- 5) **C- Collapsed** no or very few catches;

#### **Based on Stock related indicators**

- 1) N Not known or uncertain: Not much information is available to make a judgment
- 2) S Sustainably exploited: Standing stock above an agreed biomass based Reference Point;
- 3) **O Overexploited**: Standing stock below the value of the agreed biomass based Reference Point. An agreed range of overexploited status is provided;

#### Empirical Reference framework for the relative level of stock biomass index

- Relative low biomass: Values lower than or equal to 33<sup>rd</sup> percentile of biomass index in the time series (O<sub>L</sub>)
- Relative intermediate biomass: Values falling within this limit and 66<sup>th</sup> percentile (O<sub>i</sub>)
- Relative high biomass: Values higher than the  $66^{th}$  percentile (O<sub>H</sub>)

- 4) **D Depleted**: Standing stock is at lowest historical levels, irrespective of the amount of fishing effort exerted;
- 5) **R** –**Recovering:** Biomass are increasing after having been depleted from a previous period;

#### Agreed definitions as per SAC Glossary

**Overfished (or overexploited)** - A stock is considered to be overfished when its abundance is below an agreed biomass based reference target point, like B0.1 or BMSY. To apply this denomination, it should be assumed that the current state of the stock (in biomass) arises from the application of excessive fishing pressure in previous years. This classification is independent of the current level of fishing mortality.

**Stock subjected to overfishing (or overexploitation)** - A stock is subjected to overfishing if the fishing mortality applied to it exceeds the one it can sustainably stand, for a longer period. In other words, the current fishing mortality exceeds the fishing mortality that, if applied during a long period, under stable conditions, would lead the stock abundance to the reference point of the target abundance (either in terms of biomass or numbers)