## SAC GFCM Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment


Assessment form Sheet \#0

Code: GME0910A.A

| Date $^{*}$ | 18 | Oct | 2010 | Authors $^{*}$ | A.Abella4, F. Colloca1, P. Sartor2, A. Ligas2, , M. Sbrana2, <br> A.Mannini3, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Species <br> Scientific <br> name | Galeus melastomus- GME , , | Species <br> common <br> name* | Blackmouth catshark |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Data Source

| GSA* | 09 - Ligurian and North Tirrenian Sea | Period of time* | 1994-2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Description of the analysis

| Type of data* | lommercial catches, size structure of <br> the catch by gear, trawl surveys size | Data source* | catch assessment surveys |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Method of <br> assessment* $^{*}$ | Length cohort analysis; Yield <br> forecasting | Software <br> used* $^{*}$ |  |

## Sheets filled out

| B | P1 | P2a | P2b | G | A1 | A2 | A3 | Y | Other | D | Z | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | --- | --- | --- | -- | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
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| Biology Somatic magnitude measured (LH, LC, etc)* |  |  |  | TL Unsexed | Units* | cm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Fem | Mal | Both |  |  |  |
| Maximum size observed |  |  |  |  | Reproduction season |  |
| Size at first maturity |  |  |  |  | Reproduction areas | yes |
| Recruitment size |  |  |  |  | Nursery areas | yes $150-200 \mathrm{~m}$ |

Parameters used (state units and information sources)

| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Growth model |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data source | mbertalanffy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lo (growth) | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K (growth) | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| t0 (growth) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| length-weight relationship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a (length-weight) | 0.0025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b (length-weight) | 3.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sex ratio | $01: 01$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Comments
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Code: GME0910A.A

| Data source | EC Data Collection Regulation | Year (s)* $^{*}$ | $1996-2010$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Data aggregation (by year, average <br> figures between years, etc.) |  |  |  |

Fleet and catches (please state units)

|  | Country | GSA | Fleet Segment | Fishing Gear Class | Group of Target Species | Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operational Unit 1* | ITA | 09 |  |  |  | GME |
| Operational Unit 2 | ITA | 09 |  |  |  | GME |
| Operational Unit 3 | ITA | 09 | E - Trawl (12-24 metres) | 03 - Trawls | 33 - Demersal shelf species | GME |
| Operational Unit 4 | ITA | 09 |  |  |  | GME |
| Operational Unit 5 | ITA | 09 |  |  |  | GME |


| Operational Units* | Fleet <br> (n of <br> boats) | Kilos or <br> Tons | Catch <br> (species <br> assessed) | Other species <br> caught | Discards <br> (species <br> assessed) | Discards <br> (other species <br> caught) | Effort <br> units |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | tons |  |  |  |  |
| ITA 09 E 03 33-GME |  | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |


| Legal minimum size |  |
| :--- | :--- |

## Comments

The black mouth catshark Galeus melastomus is a deep sea species, mainly distributed in t depth range $200-1000 \mathrm{~m}$. Locally, the species has a quite low commercial value. The spec: exclusively caught with bottom trawl nets, mainly as a by-catch of the Norway lobster fish vessels operating within the $250-500 \mathrm{~m}$ depth range and in red shrimps fisheries in deeper (up to 800 m ). Only relatively big-sized individuals are landed.
Other involved species of the Nephrops and Red shrimps fisherierre Phycis blennoides, Micromesistius potassou, Lepidopus caudatus, Trachurus trachurus, Conger conger, Macrouridae, Etmopterus spinax, Gadiculus argenteus, Parapenaeus longirostris.

## Comments

Tab. 7.4.1 - Technical characteristics of the trawl fleet of GSA9 (DCR official data)
Year 20042005
N. of boats $344 \quad 358 \quad 361$

| GT | 12.818 | 12.961 | 13.191 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| kW | 74.017 | 74.606 | 75.514 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean GT | 37.3 | 36.2 | 36.5 |


| Mean GT | 37.3 | 36.2 | 36.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean kW | 215.2 | 208.4 | 209.2 |


| Data source* | catch assessment survey EU-(DCF) | OpUnit 1* |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Time series

| Year* | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average size Lc |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fleet | 344 | 358 | 361 |  |  |  |


| Year | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average size Lc |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fleet |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Selectivity Remarks



Structure by size or age
$\square$

Structure by size or age



Fishing closure for trawling: 30 days in late summer (only enforced some years)
Minimum landing sizes: EC regulation 1967/2006: 20 cm TL for hake.
Cod end mesh size of trawl nets: 40 mm (stretched, diamond meshes) till 30/05/2010. From 1/6/2010 the existing nets will be replaced with a cod end with 40 mm (stretched) square meshes or a cod end with 50 mm (stretched) diamond meshes.
Towed gears are not allowed within three nautical miles from the coast or at depths less than 50 m when this depth is reached at a distance less than 3 miles from the coast.
Two small No Take Zones ("Zone di Tutela Biologica", ZTB) are present inside the GSA9; one off the Giglio Island ( 50 km 2 , northern Tyrrhenian Sea) another off Gaeta, ( 125 km 2 , central Tyrrhenian Sea). In both areas fishing gears operating on the bottom are not allowed six months per year.

Hake trawl fishery exploits a highly diversified species assemblage: deep sea pink shrimp (Parapenaeus longirostris) horned octopus (Eledone cirrhosa), poor cod (Trisopterus minutus capelanus), squids (Illex coindetii), are among the most important species in the by catch.

## SAC GFCM - Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment (SCSA)

| Data source* | OpUnit 3* | ITA 09 E 03 33-GME |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Regulations in force and degree of observance of regulations

Fishing closure for trawling: 30 days in late summer (only enforced some years)
Minimum landing sizes: EC regulation 1967/2006: 20 cm TL for hake.
Cod end mesh size of trawl nets: 40 mm (stretched, diamond meshes) till 30/05/2010. From 1/6/2010 the existing nets will be replaced with a cod end with 40 mm (stretched) square meshes or a cod end with 50 mm (stretched) diamond meshes.
Towed gears are not allowed within three nautical miles from the coast or at depths less than 50 m when this depth is reached at a distance less than 3 miles from the coast.
Two small No Take Zones ("Zone di Tutela Biologica", ZTB) are present inside the GSA9; one off the Giglio Island ( 50 km 2 , northern Tyrrhenian Sea) another off Gaeta, ( 125 km 2 , central Tyrrhenian Sea). In both areas fishing gears operating on the bottom are not allowed six months per year.

## Accompanying species

Hake trawl fishery exploits a highly diversified species assemblage: deep sea pink shrimp (Parapenaeus longirostris) horned octopus (Eledone cirrhosa), poor cod (Trisopterus minutus capelanus), squids (Illex coindetii), are among the most important species in the by catch.

## SAC GFCM - Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment (SCSA)

## Assessment form

Code: GME0910A.A

| Sex* | both |
| :--- | :--- |

Page $1 / 1$

## Time series

Analysis \# *
1

| Data | Size | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (mark with X$)$ | x |  |


| Model | Cohorts | Pseudocohorts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (mark with X$)$ | x |  |


| Equation used |  | Tunig method |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \# of gears | 1 | Software |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {terminal }}$ | 0.4 |  |  |

Population results (please state units)

|  | Sizes | Ages |  | Amount | Biomass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minimum |  |  | Recruitment |  |  |
| Average |  |  | Average population |  |  |
| Maximum |  |  | Virgin population |  |  |
| Critical |  |  | Turnover |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Average mortality

|  | Gear |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| $F_{1}$ | 0.35 | LCA |  |  |  |  |
| $F_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $Z$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(F1 and F2 represent different possible calculations. Please state them)

## Comments

$\square$

| Sex* | both | Gear | bottm trawl=gear 1; gillnet=gear 2 | Analysis \#* | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Data | Total catches (number of specimens) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Data

|  | size | N | size | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input data | 0 |  | 28 | 3543 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 29 | 1984 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 30 | 1503 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 31 | 1486 |
|  | 4 | 0 | 32 | 1773 |
|  | 5 | 0 | 33 | 1521 |
|  | 6 | 0 | 34 | 1032 |
|  | 7 | 0 | 35 | 474 |
|  | 8 | 0 | 36 | 531 |
|  | 9 | 0 | 37 | 535 |
|  | 10 | 1804 | 38 | 425 |
|  | 11 | 2794 | 39 | 326 |
|  | 12 | 4949 | 40 | 480 |
|  | 13 | 7094 | 41 | 992 |
|  | 14 | 10627 | 42 | 1420 |
|  | 15 | 15112 | 43 | 2274 |
|  | 16 | 20745 | 44 | 2717 |
|  | 17 | 27173 | 45 | 3268 |
|  | 18 | 30765 | 46 | 2918 |
|  | 19 | 29136 | 47 | 2727 |
|  | 20 | 24304 | 48 | 2022 |
|  | 21 | 20554 | 49 | 1655 |
|  | 22 | 17070 | 50 | 1210 |
|  | 23 | 12675 | 51 | 1108 |
|  | 24 | 9583 | 52 | 996 |
|  | 25 | 7369 | 53 | 690 |
|  | 26 | 7068 | 54 | 621 |
|  | 27 | 4622 | 55 | 234 |

Assessment form Sheet A3

Code: GME0910A.A
Page 1 / 1

| Sex $^{*}$ | both | Gear $^{*}$ | bottom trawl | Analysis \# | LCA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Population in figures

|  | Prop mature | B year | SSB year | total Eyear | ind W year | N | COTB land | C_OTB dis | E OTB land | FOTB_di |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0 | 1124651 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 4057932 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.0 | 16327918 | 0 | 0.094668749 | 4.5 | 3811847 | 0 | 42381 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.0 | 34395926 | 0 | 0.669124446 | 18.2 | 1980318 | 0 | 169748 | 0.00 |  |
| 3 | 0.0 | 26989128 | 0 | 0.394147657 | 41.8 | 657818 | 0 | 41316 | 0.00 |  |
| 4 | 0.0 | 30841478 | 0 | 0.127478777 | 75.0 | 429485 | 0 | 10290 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 28206394 | 0 | 0.263408346 | 115.1 | 256902 | 1720 | 1838 | 0.13 |  |
| 6 | 0.9 | 30769074 | 0 | 0.035118687 | 159 | 201208 | 1274.02095 | 492 | 0.03 |  |
| 7 | 1.0 | 23228457 | 23228457 | 0.147909471 | 200 | 116771 | 4685.24105 | 0 | 0.15 | 0 |
| 8 | 1.0 | 18899539 | 18899539 | 0.40216856 | 246.5 | 77459.5 | 8902.46768 | 0 | 0.40 |  |
| 9 | 1.0 | 8338661 | 8338661 | 0.518934563 | 189 | 28926.3 | 4748.80272 | 0 | 0.52 | 0 |
| 10 | 1.0 | 5366028 | 5366028 | 0.496056803 | 327 | 16438.4 | 2864.88511 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 |
| 11 | 1.0 | 3182328 | 3182328 | 0.69024561 | 370 | 8657.51 | 2104 | 0 | 0.69 |  |
| 12 | 1.0 | 874218.3 | 874218.3 | 0.874338224 | 400 | 2169.54 | 690 | 0 | 0.87 | 0 |
| 13 | 1.0 | 529530.2 | 529530.2 | 0.5 | 430 | 1242 | 621 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 |
| 14 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 445 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 485 |  | 0 | ก | 0 | $\square$ |

## Population in biomass

$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Fishing mortality rates



## Parameters used

| Vector F | yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vector M |  |
| Vector N |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Model characteristics



## Results

|  | Total | Gear |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current YR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Y/R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y/R 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $F_{\max }$ | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{0.1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current B/R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum B/R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B/R 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fref |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F40\%SSB | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Comments

|  |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |

## Comments



Reference Point Summary Table

| Reference Point | F | Yield per Recruit | SSB per Recruit | Total Biomass per <br> Recruit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F Zero | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 157286.72604 | 239593.70248 |
| F-01 | 0.13000 | 11384.41293 | 48843.30212 | 103172.63998 |
| F-Max | 0.18000 | 11731.46664 | 31924.86827 | 78697.92348 |
| F at 40\% MSP | 0.10000 | 10598.43334 | 63434.15284 | 123033.18089 |

## SAC GFCM - Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment (SCSA)

## Other assessment methods

Page 1 / 1
$\square$
does not ex ist. As a complementary it was performed analysis a demographic model that rely primarily on life history parameters. Such analysis is expected to provide some useful information for management.

The Leslie Matrix was adapted to include information on fishing mortality at specific ages, or changes in the reproductive schedule. In order to assess how much influence the changes in the used estimates of the vital rates fecundity at age and mortality rates have on the population growth rate, the software allows the performance of sensitivity analyses. In this case, such sensitivity analysis is reported as the elasticity, which is the proportional (relative) change of sensitivity. This choice facilitates the comparisons related to the consequences (impact on the estimates of population growth rate) of small changes in fecundity and on the mortality rates, which are obviously expressed in different absolute scales. Elasticity is calculated from the elements of the transition matrix, the population growth rate ( $r$ ) and the elements of the right and left eigenvectors. While the Leslie matrix was modifi for allowing the inclusion of fishing mortality rates and changes in age of first capture, this allowed the of the values of the rate of population growth obtained with different combinations of age of first captur and fishing mortality rate F . In the figure, the green area represents combinations that define a positive v $r_{m} . A n F c=0.08$ was defined as threshold for the current exploitation pattern.

The use of the elasticity analysis made possible to estimate how much vulnerable to changes in the survival of the juveniles (or the adults) depending on the characteristics of the species in question (small or large, slow or fast-growing, long or short-lived species. The results, that allowed a comparison among the consequences (sensitivity) to small changes in fecundity and on the mortality rates, derive from standardized information, considering that data are originally expressed in different absolute scales.
Isoplets of the rate of population growth $r_{m}$ obtained with different combinations of age of first capture I
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## Reference points

| Criterion | Current <br> value | Units | Reference <br> Point | Trend | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B |  |  |  |  |  |
| SSB |  |  |  |  |  |
| F |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y |  |  |  |  |  |
| CPUE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fmax |  |  |  |  |  |
| F0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| F30\%SS: |  |  |  |  |  |
| ZMBP |  |  |  |  |  |

Stock Status* Use one (or both) of the following two systems for the stock assessment status description

|  | C | ? - (or blank) Not known or uncertain. Not much information is available to make a judgment; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | c | U - Underexploited, undeveloped or new fishery. Believed to have a significant potential for expansion in total production; |
|  | C | M - Moderately exploited, exploited with a low level of fishing effort. Believed to have some limited potential for expansion in total production; |
|  | C | F - Fully exploited. The fishery is operating at or close to an optimal yield level, with no expected room for further expansion; |
|  | - | O - Overexploited. The fishery is being exploited at above a level which is believed to be sustainable in the long term, with no potential room for further expansion and a higher risk of stock depletion/collapse; |
|  | 0 | D - Depleted. Catches are well below historical levels, irrespective of the amount of fishing effort exerted; |
|  |  | R - Recovering. Catches are again increasing after having been depleted or a collapse from a previous; |


|  | Exploitation rate |  | Stock abundance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | No or low fishing | $\bigcirc$ | Virgin or high abundance | C | Depleted |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | Moderate fishing | 0 | Intermediate abundance | $\bigcirc$ | Uncertain / Not |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | High fishing mortality | $\cdots$ | Low abundance |  | assessed |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | Uncertain / Not assessed |  |  |  |  |

## Comments

再

## SAC GFCM - Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment (SCSA)

Code: GME0910A.A

## Management advice and recommendations*

The species is considered overexploited, with consistent diagnosis of the current exploitation status obtained with the 2 used approaches aimed at the definition of precautionary Reference Points ( $\mathrm{Fc}=$ 0.08 and $\mathrm{F} 0.1=0.13$ ) which values are much lower than the current estimate of fishing mortality rate of $\mathrm{F}=0.35$. The size of first capture is too low (growth overfishing) and an increase in yield and a more safe situation for the stock as regards the possibility of self-renewal can be expected in the case a reduction of fishing effort do occur and/or more selective gears are used

Advice for scientific research*
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## Comments*

Most of the assessments have been performed during the Working groups organized by the Scientific Technical and Economic Commission of Fisheries (STECF) of the European Comunity.

## Abstract for SCSA reporting



## Fisheries (brief description of the fishery)*

The blackmouth catshark Galeus melastomus is a deep sea species, mainly distributed in the depth range $200-1000 \mathrm{~m}$. Locally, the species has a quite low commercial value. The species is exclusively caught with bottom trawl nets, mainly as a by-catch of the Norway lobster fishery, by vessels operating within the $250-500 \mathrm{~m}$ depth range and in red shrimps fisheries in deeper waters (up to 800 m ). Only relatively big-sized individuals are landed.
Other involved species of the Nephrops and Red shrimps fisheries are Phycis blennoides,
Micromesistius potassou, Lepidopus caudatus, Trachurus trachurus, Conger conger, Macrouridae, Etmopterus spinax, Gadiculus argenteus, Parapenaeus longirostris.

## Source of management advice*

(brief description of material -data- and methods used for the assessment)

## Stock Status*

O - Overexploited. The fishery is being exploited at above a level which is believed to be sustainable in the long term, with no potential room for further expansion and a higher risk of stock depletion/collapse;

| Exploitation rate | Stock abundance |
| :--- | :--- |
| High fishing mortality | Low abundance |

## Comments

Management advice and recommendations*

Advice for scientific research ${ }^{\star}$

