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## SAC GFCM - Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment (SCSA)

Assessment form

Code: ANE0610Bel

| Date $^{*}$ | 1 | Nov | 2010 | Authors $^{*}$ | Bellido, J.M.1, García, E.1, Quintanilla, L.2, Torres, P.2, Giráldez, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A.2, Ceruso, C.1, Alemany, F.3, Iglesias, M.3 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Species <br> Scientific <br> name | Engraulis encrasicolus - ANE | Species <br> common <br> name | Anchovy, Anchoa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Data Source

| GSA* $^{*}$ | $06-$ Northern Spain | Period of time* | $2002-2009$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Description of the analysis

| Type of data* | Landings, Length and biological <br> samplings. Tuning from Purse seiners | Data source* | Oficial statictis IEO sampling network, <br> Acustic survey |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Method of <br> assessment | Provisional XSA analysis - Extended <br> Survivor Analysis | Software used** | VPA Suite. Lowestoft. 1995 \& FLR <br> Library |

## Sheets filled out

| B | P1 | P2a | P2b | G | A1 | A2 | A3 | Y | Other | D | Z | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | --- | 1 | 1 | 1 | -- | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Comments, bibliography, etc.

The provisional XSA analysis was not accepted as a basis for advice. The main reasons were the use of a common ALK for all years, and doubts about the natural mortality.
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Bibliography (Technical Reports and grey literature):
Darby, C.D. and Flatman, S., 1994. Virtual Population Analysis, version 3.1 (Windows/DOS) user guide. Information Technology Series 1. CEFAS, Lowestoft, UK.

Reports from the SCSA and SAC of the General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean (GFCM), available at http://www.fao.org/fi/body/rfb/GFCM/gfcm_home.htm and/or ftp://cucafera.icm.csic.es/pub/scsa/
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SAC GFCM - Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment (SCSA)
Assessment form

Code: ANE0610BeI

| Biology Somatic magnitude measured (LH, LC, etc) ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |  | Total Length Units* |  | 1/2 centimeter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | Fem | Mal | Both | Unsexed |  |  |
| Maximum size observed |  |  | 17 |  | Reproduction season | Spring-Summer |
| Size at first maturity |  |  | 11 |  | Reproduction areas | Delta Ebro River |
| Recruitment size |  |  | 8.5 |  | Nursery areas | Rosas Bay and Delta |

## Parameters used (state units and information sources)

|  |  |  | Sex |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Units | female | male | both | unsexed |
| Growth model | L | cm |  |  | 19 |  |
|  | K | year-1 |  |  | 0.4337 |  |
|  | t0 | year |  |  | -2 |  |
|  | Data source | Otoliths |  |  |  |  |
| Length weight relationship | a |  |  |  | 0.0033 |  |
|  | b |  |  |  | 3.2761 |  |
| M |  |  |  | M vector (see comments) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sex ratio (mal/fem) $42.4 / 57.6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Comments

Combined ALK 2003-2009, for all the years. Length Distributions for each of the years 2002-2009, length distribution was applied to 2002 landings.

Biological sampling 2003-2009 for Maturity at age and Weight-Length relationships.
Natural Mortality value (M) - Following the recommendation from the Workshop on Mediterranean Stock Assessment Standardization (SG-ECA/RST/MED 09-01), a vector (declining value of M with age) instead of a constant value was used. The vector was estimated using the ProdBiom method (Abella et al., 1997) based on Caddy (1991).

| Age | M |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.17 |
| 1 | 0.43 |
| 2 | 0.32 |
| 3 | 0.27 |


| Data source ${ }^{*}$ | Official Statistics, IEO Sampling Network, Acoustic | Year (s) ${ }^{*}$ | 2002-2009 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Data aggregation (by year, average <br> figures between years, etc.) | By year 2002-2009 |  |  |

Fleet and catches (please state units)

|  | Country | GSA | Fleet Segment | Fishing Gear Class | Group of Target Species | Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operational <br> Unit $1^{*}$ | ESP | 06 | G-Purse Seine (6-12 <br> metres) | 02 -Seine Nets | $31-$ Small gregarious <br> pelagic | ANE |
| Operational <br> Unit 2 | ESP | 06 | H-Purse Seine $(12-24$ <br> metres) | 02 -Seine Nets | $31-$ Small gregarious <br> pelagic | ANE |
| Operational <br> Unit 3 | ESP | 06 | F-Trawl (>24 metres) | $02-$ Seine Nets | $31-$ Small gregarious <br> pelagic | ANE |
| Operational <br> Unit 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operational <br> Unit 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Operational Units* | Fleet <br> (n${ }^{\circ}$ of <br> boats) | Kilos or <br> Tons | Catch <br> (species <br> assessed) | Other species <br> caught | Discards <br> (species <br> assessed) | Discards <br> (other species <br> caught) | Effort <br> units |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ESP 06 G 02 31- ANE | 4 | Tons | 9814 |  |  |  |  |
| ESP 06 H 02 31-ANE | 111 | Tons |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESP 06 F 02 31-ANE | 17 | Tons |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 132 |  | 9814 |  |  |  |  |


| Legal minimum size | 9 cm TL |
| :--- | :--- |

## Comments

The catch (landings) is not split by Fleet segments. It comprises 9814 Tons in 2009 for the three Operational Units. Although landings are not still separated by Fleet segments we can provide a segmentation of the pelagic fleet in GSA06, with number of boats for every fleet segment:
The Fleet Segment Purse Seine (6-12 metres) comprises 4 boats in 2009
The Fleet Segment Purse Seine (12-24 metres) comprises 111 boats in 2009
The Fleet Segment Purse Seine (greater than 24 metres) comprises 17 boats in 2009
Then, and because that landing aggregation, we prefer to fill pages P 2 a and P 2 b considering the three fleet segments as an unique pelagic fleet.

Landing Ports are shown in the attached Figure. Sampling ports are highlighted in blue.Tuning data from acoustic survey ECOMED (2003-2009) and MEDIAS(2009) were used.

## Comments



## SAC GFCM - Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment (SCSA)

| Data source* | Official Statistics, IEO Sampling Network | OpUnit 1* | ESP 06 G 02 31-ANE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Time series

| Year $^{\star}$ | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catch | 14338 | 8538 | 8097 | 6216 | 3096 | 2570 |
| Minimum size | 6 | 6 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 10 | 7 |
| Average size Lc | 13.1 | 13.4 | 13.2 | 14.3 | 13.4 | 14.6 |
| Maximum size | 17.5 | 17.5 | 17 | 18 | 18.5 | 18 |
| Fleet | 157 | 161 | 155 | 147 | 139 | 132 |


| Year | 2008 | 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Catch | 2558 | 9814 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum size | 6 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Average size Lc | 12.8 | 14.36 |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum size | 18.5 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| Fleet | 132 | 132 |  |  |  |  |

## Selectivity

Remarks

| L25 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L50 |  |  |
| L75 |  |  |
| Selection factor |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Structure by size or age


## Structure by size or age



## SAC GFCM - Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment (SCSA)

| Data source* | Official Statistics, IEO Sampling Network | OpUnit 1* | ESP 06 G 02 31-ANE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Regulations in force and degree of observance of regulations

Fishing license: fully observed
Minimum landing size 9 cm : not fully observed (Some landings under minimum size in some specific ports).
No fishing allowed on weekend. Time at sea 12 hours per day and 5 days a week: fully observed Several technical measures regulations (gear and mesh size, engine, GRT, etc...): not fully observed Two months temporary fishing closures: fully observed.

## Accompanying species

The most important are:
Sardine (Sardina pilchardus)
Mediterranean Horse Mackerel (Trachurus mediterraneus)
Other Horse Mackerels (Trachurus trachurus and Tachurus picturatus)
Mackerel (Scomber scombrus)
Chub Mackerel (Scomber japonicus)
Round sardinella (Sardinella aurita)
Bogue (Boops boops)
$\square$

## SAC GFCM - Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment (SCSA)

## Time series

| Model | Cohorts | Pseudocohorts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (mark with X) | X |  |


| Equation used | VPA | Tunig method | XSA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \# of gears | Purse seiners | Software | VPA95. Lowestoft suite \& FLR <br> Library |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {terminal }}$ | Not relevant to XSA |  |  |

Population results (please state units)

|  | Sizes | Ages |  | Amount | Biomass |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minimum | 8.5 | 0 | Recruitment | 1380 millions |  |
| Average | See page 2a |  | Average population | See coments below |  |
| Maximum | 17 | 3 | Virgin population |  |  |
| Critical |  |  | Turnover |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Average mortality

|  | Gear |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| $F_{1}$ | Fbar=0.89 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $F_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $Z$ | See Comments |  |  |  |  |  |

(F1 and F2 represent different possible calculations. Please state them)

## Comments

Reference F is Fbar0-2 (average of ages 0 to 2 are considered the reference ages of this fishery). Following the recommendation from the Workshop on Mediterranean Stock Assessment Standardization (SG-ECA/RST/MED 09-01), a vector instead of a constant value was used. The vector was estimated using the ProdBiom method (Abella et al., 1997) based on Caddy (1991). A separable VPA was run as exploratory analysis. Log catchability residual plots shows large residuals and difference between ages. These patterns are likely due to huge catch numbers in year 2009.

Landings increase in 2009, reaching up 9814 t , which represents a huge increase from 2008 (2558). Landings y 2009 is the second higest one in the time series behind the maximun cathc obtained in 2002 ( 14338 t ). F0-2 in 2009 was 0.89 ,slightly larger than 2008 (0.4). F has generally decreased during the time series. Recruitment in 2009 (1380 millions) decreases compared to 2008 (2030 millions) and generally seems to follow the trend in SSB. Both Total Biomass $(38,830 \mathrm{t})$ and Spawning Stock Biomass in 2009 (26,480 t) increased from the lowest value observed 2006.

| Sex $^{*}$ | Both | Gear $^{*}$ | Purse seiners | Analysis \# | XSA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Data | Input data for XSA |
| :--- | :--- |

Data


## Input Parameters GSA06

- Growth Parameters:
$\operatorname{Linf}=19$
$k=0.4237$
* Length-weight relationship:

$$
a=0.0033 \quad b=3.27
$$

* Natural Mortality Vector PRODBIOM (Abella et al.1997):
$\begin{array}{lc}\text { Age } & 0 \\ \mathrm{M} & 1.17\end{array}$
1
0.43
0.32
3
0.27
Mean(0-3)
0.55
Mean(Q-2) 0.64
- Maturity at Age
Age
PropMat
10
0.50
1
0.89
1.00
3
$\qquad$

| Sex $^{*}$ | Both | Gear* | Purse Seiners | Analysis \#* | XSA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |

## Population in figures



## Population in biomass



Fishing mortality rates
(FISHING MORTALITY
Assessment form Sheet other

## Code: ANE0610Bel

Other assessment methods
Acoustic survey data

| Andowy GSAG - givey fhousends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| Age 0 | 3778218 | 177742 | 700493 | 1461320 | 96351 | 5962087 |
| Age 1 | 5867 | 52036 | 40034 | 37761 | 7384 | 9207 |
| Age 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 646 | 0 | 0 |
| Age 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| SAC GFCM - Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment (SCSA) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment form | Sheet D |  |  |

Code: ANE0610BeI
Indicators and reference points

| Criterion | Current <br> value | Units | Reference <br> Point | Trend | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B |  |  |  |  | Not Reference Point defined yet |
| SSB |  |  |  |  | Not Reference Point defined yet |
| F |  |  |  |  | Not Reference Point defined yet |
| Y |  |  |  |  | Not Reference Point defined yet |
| CPUE |  |  |  |  | Not Reference Point defined yet |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Stock Status* Use one (or both) of the following two systems for the stock assessment status description

|  | E | ? - (or blank) Not known or uncertain. Not much information is available to make a judgment; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E | U - Underexploited, undeveloped or new fishery. Believed to have a significant potential for expansion in total production; |
|  | E | M - Moderately exploited, exploited with a low level of fishing effort. Believed to have some limited potential for expansion in total production; |
|  | $\square$ | F - Fully exploited. The fishery is operating at or close to an optimal yield level, with no expected room for further expansion; |
|  | [ | O - Overexploited. The fishery is being exploited at above a level which is believed to be sustainable in the long term, with no potential room for further expansion and a higher risk of stock depletion/collapse; |
|  | C | D - Depleted. Catches are well below historical levels, irrespective of the amount of fishing effort exerted; |
|  | C | R - Recovering. Catches are again increasing after having been depleted or a collapse from a previous; |


|  | Exploitation rate |  | Stock abundance |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\square$ | No or low fishing | $\square$ | Virgin or high abundance | C | Depleted |
|  | E | Moderate fishing | $\square$ | Intermediate abundance | $\square$ | Uncertain / Not |
|  | $\square$ | High fishing mortality | 6 | Low abundance | L | assessed |
|  | © | Uncertain / Not assessed |  |  |  |  |

## Comments

Lacking a reliable analytic assessment, the advice is based on evidence in the fishery and survey data. The catches have had a strong downwards trend throughout the time series (which goes back to 2008. The exception is 2009 , where the catches increased markedly. In the length composition in the catches, the balance between small and large fish has been relatively stable, except in 2009, where the peak in the distribution is at 14 cm , and the distribution stops abruptly above that.
The survey appear only to reflect the recruitment (age 0 abundance). According to the survey, the recruitment has declined gradually since 2003, but with a strong year class in 2008 and an intermediate year class in 2009.
This evidence points in the direction of the recruitment as the main driving force in the population dynamics, where the stock and catches have declined as a result of a declining recruitment. There are no clear evidence of an expansion of the fishery and exploitation level. The stock biomass in itself is not known, but it seems likely that it has declined in line with the decline in the catches and biomass. The lenght distribution in the 2009 catches raises some concern, as the large fish are poorly represented in the catch that year. Hence, it is unclear how this fishery has depeleted the spawning biomass.

In this situation, a precautionary approach to the management would be to avoid depleting the spawning stock to levels below the recent years, since a lower spawning biomass may not be able to respond to favorable environmental conditions.

## SAC GFCM - Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment (SCSA)

Assessment form

## Management advice and recommendations*

With a moderate exploitation rate, the stock abundance in 2009 should increase, and ensure sufficient spawning fish to continue the improvement in recruitment since 2008.

As this fishery is highly dependent on the strength of the recruitment, the present gains has to be linked to the future and evolution of the recruitment level. Unless the recruitment levels increase in the near future, this fishery may be exploited at above a level which is believed to be sustainable in the long term, with no potencial room for further expansion and a higher risk of stock depletion/collapse.

Taking into account the possible link between GSA06 and GSA07, which includes parallell changes in the ecosystem in the most recent years, it is also advised to harmonize management measures in the two areas, to ensure that they are restricctive in both areas.

Advice for scientific research*

SAC GFCM - Sub-Committee on Stock Assessment (SCSA)

| Assessment form | Sheet C <br> Comments |
| ---: | ---: |

Code: ANE0610BeI
Page 1 / 1

## Comments*

Conclussions - Assessment
Landings have decreased gradually from about 14000 tons in 2002 to about 2500 tons in 2008 but increased to almost 10000 tons in 2009. There are no prominent trend in the length distributions in the catches. The acustic survey apparently only covers ages 0 . According to the survey, the recruitment has fluctuated but with a declining trend. The 2008 year class appears to be strong.

Conclusions - Management considerations:

As this fishery is highly dependent on the strength of the recruitment, the present gains has to be linked to the future and evolution of the recruitment level. Unless the recruitment levels increase in the near future, this fishery will being exploited at a level which may not be sustainable in the long term. There is no potencial room for further expansion of the fishery.

## Abstract for SCSA reporting



## Fisheries (brief description of the fishery)*

Sardine (Sardina pilchardus) and anchovy (Engraulis encrasicolus) are the main target species of the purse seine fleet in Northern Spain GSA06, but other species with lower economical importance are also captured, sometimes representing a high percentage of the capture: horse mackerel (Trachurus spp.), mackerel (Scomber spp.), frigate mackerel (Auxis rochei), Atlantic saury (Scomberesox saurus) and gilt sardine (Sardinella aurita).

This report is exclusively focused on fishery of anchovy.

## Source of management advice*

(brief description of material -data- and methods used for the assessment)
Fishery assessment by VPA methods of the Spanish sardine stock GSA06 is shown. VPA Lowestoft software suite was used and XSA was the assessment method. A separable VPA was also run as exploratory analysis for both stocks. Stochastic short term projections were also produced.

Data used:
Landings from 2002-2009 from all Fishery ports from GSA06.
Combined ALK 2003-2009, for all the years. Length Distributions 2002-2009, length distribution was applied to 2002 landings.
Biological sampling 2003-2009 for Maturity at age and Weight-Length relationships.
Tuning data from acoustic survey ECOMED (2003-2009) and MEDIAS(2009) were used.

## Stock Status*

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Exploitation rate | Stock abundance |
| Uncertain / Not assessed | Low abundance |

## Comments

Lacking a reliable analytic assessment, the advice is based on evidence in the fishery and survey data. The catches have had a strong downwards trend throughout the time series (which goes back to 2008. The exception is 2009 , where the catches increased markedly. In the length composition in the catches, the balance between small and large fish has been relatively stable, except in 2009 , where the peak in the distribution is at 14 cm , and the distribution stops abruptly above that.
The survey appear only to reflect the recruitment (age 0 abundance). According to the survey, the recruitment has declined gradually since 2003, but with a strong year class in 2008 and an intermediate year class in 2009.
This evidence points in the direction of the recruitment as the main driving force in the population dynamics, where the stock and catches have declined as a result of a declining recruitment. There are no clear evidence of an expansion of the fishery and exploitation level. The stock biomass in itself is not known, but it seems likely that it has declined in line with the decline in the catches and biomass. The lenght distribution in the 2009 catches raises some concern, as the large fish are poorly represented in the catch that year. Hence, it is unclear how this fishery has depeleted the spawning biomass.

In this situation, a precautionary approach to the management would be to avoid depleting the spawning stock to levels below the recent years, since a lower spawning biomass may not be able to respond to favorable environmental conditions.

## Management advice and recommendations*

With a moderate exploitation rate, the stock abundance in 2009 should increase, and ensure sufficient spawning fish to continue the improvement in recruitment since 2008.

As this fishery is highly dependent on the strength of the recruitment, the present gains has to be linked to the future and evolution of the recruitment level.Unless the recruitment levels increase in the near future, this fishery may be exploited at above a level which is believed to be sustainable in the long term, with no potencial room for further expansion and a higher risk of stock depletion/collapse.

Taking into account the possible link between GSA06 and GSA07, which includes parallell changes in the ecosystem in the most recent years, it is also advised to harmonize management measures in the two areas, to ensure that they are restricctive in both areas.

Advice for scientific research*
$\square$

